Train Large, Then Compress: Rethinking Model Size for Efficient Training and Inference of Transformers





Zhuohan Li \star



Eric Wallace \star



Kevin Lin \star



Sheng Shen \star



Kurt Keutzer



Dan Klein



Joseph E. Gonzalez

State-of-the-art NLP models require millions of dollars to train

Devlin et al. (2019). We pretrain our model using 1024 V100 GPUs for approximately one day.

\$4,600,000: The full cost of training GPT-3

Consumption	CO ₂ e (lbs)
Air travel, 1 passenger, NY \leftrightarrow SF	1984
Human life, avg, 1 year	11,023
American life, avg, 1 year	36,156
Car, avg incl. fuel, 1 lifetime	126,000
Training one model (GPU)	
NLP pipeline (parsing, SRL)	39
w/ tuning & experimentation	78,468
Transformer (big)	192
w/ neural architecture search	626,155

Artificial intelligence / Machine learning

Training a single AI model can emit as much carbon as five cars in their lifetimes

Why is training so expensive?

• One can trade compute for accuracy

Why is training so expensive?

One can trade compute for accuracy
 Larger model size





Why is training so expensive?

One can trade compute for accuracy
 Larger model size

Larger datasets



• **Computational constraints** are increasingly the bottleneck

Maximizing Computational Efficiency

- The goal → maximize **computational efficiency**
 - highest possible accuracy given fixed hardware and training time

Maximizing Computational Efficiency

- The goal maximize computational efficiency
 - highest possible accuracy given fixed hardware and training time



Maximizing Computational Efficiency

- The goal maximize computational efficiency
 - highest possible accuracy given fixed hardware and training time



- Conventional wisdom:
 - Small models **train** faster

- Conventional wisdom:
 - Small models **train** faster
 - Large models are infeasible for **inference**

- Conventional wisdom:
 - Small models **train** faster
 - Large models are infeasible for **inference**

small models = fast ↔ large models = slow

- Conventional wisdom:
 - Small models **train** faster
 - Large models are infeasible for inference
 small models = fast ↔ large models = slow
- Goal: study how model size affects training and inference efficiency

- Conventional wisdom:
 - Small models **train** faster
 - Large models are infeasible for inference
 small models = fast ↔ large models = slow
- Goal: study how model size affects training and inference efficiency
- Results:
 - Large models train faster

- Conventional wisdom:
 - Small models **train** faster
 - Large models are infeasible for inference
 small models = fast ↔ large models = slow
- Goal: study how model size affects training and inference efficiency
- Results:
 - Large models train faster
 - Large models are efficient at inference time

- Conventional wisdom:
 - Small models **train** faster
 - Large models are infeasible for inference
 small models = fast ↔ large models = slow
- Goal: study how model size affects training and inference efficiency
- Results:
 - Large models train faster
 - Large models are efficient at inference time
- Key idea: stop training early & compress heavily

Training Efficiency

- Transformer models
 - Feedforward architecture, SoTA for NLP



- Transformer models
 - Feedforward architecture, SoTA for NLP
- We vary hidden size + model depth increase batch size to fill the GPU



- Transformer models
 - Feedforward architecture, SoTA for NLP
- We vary hidden size + model depth increase batch size to fill the GPU
- Task 1: MLM pretraining + finetuning (RoBERTa)
- Task 2: machine translation



Deeper and Wider Models Converge in Fewer Steps



Deeper and Wider Models Converge in Fewer Steps



Deeper and Wider Models Converge in Less Wall Clock Time



Deeper and Wider Models Converge in Less Wall Clock Time





• Larger models reduce **training** error faster

- Larger models reduce **training** error faster
- MLM training has "unlimited" data → overfitting <u>not</u> a concern
- Thus, larger models also minimize **validation** error faster

• When overfitting is a concern, be careful of how big you go



• When overfitting is a concern, be careful of how big you go



Inference Efficiency



Large models are **fast** at **training** time



Large models are **slow** at **inference** time

Trade-off between large and small models?



Large models are **fast** at **training** time



Large models are **slow** at **inference** time

Trade-off between large and small models? **NO!**



We show that larger models are **more** compressible

- Fix training time for models of different sizes
- Two compression techniques: pruning & quantization

- Fix training time for models of different sizes
- Two compression techniques: **pruning** & quantization
 - \rightarrow Set weights to 0
 - Reduces memory
 - Reduces FP operations



- Fix training time for models of different sizes
- Two compression techniques: **pruning** & quantization



Image: Rasa

- Fix training time for models of different sizes
- Two compression techniques: pruning & quantization
 - → Store weights in low precision
 - Reduces memory



- Accelerates speed on certain hardware
- Post-hoc quantize with no additional training time

Deeper and Wider Models are More Robust to Pruning



Deeper and Wider Models are More Robust to Pruning



Deeper and Wider Models are More Robust to Pruning



Deeper and Wider Models are More Robust to Quantization



Why Do Larger Models Compress Better?

• Quantization/Pruning error is smaller for larger models



RoBERTa Quantization Error

Why Do Larger Models Compress Better?

• Size, not convergence, determines compressibility



• Increase model width, sometimes depth

- Increase model width, sometimes depth
- Increase model size not batch size



- Increase model width, sometimes depth
- Increase model size not batch size
- Apply compression methods like pruning/quantization
 - little to no training overhead
 - compress model up to 8x without hurting performance

Conclusion



Blog and **Paper** available

